THE DIFFUSION OF CULTURE

By G. ELLIOT SMITH
Professor of Anatomy in the University of London

of mankind there are two conflicting consideration. similar events happening elsewhere in up and develop quite independently of munity civilization can and did grow thropologists to-day, is that in any comtained by the vast majority of ancivilization. the most essential part in the history of views as to the process that has played can of its own initiative create a civing similarity to those of other comcustoms, and beliefs that present a strikthe world. This involves a further to be solved: why it acquires a multiilization, a more difficult problem has tude of features in its arts and crafts, AT the present time among students One, the theory main-For if any community [9]

HOCO STI 'LI TILLI HAY LIFTBLAND AS GELTERIOS. HAY THE THE SHELL TOLLING

******'

7.5

sponsible for initiating the process. particular place who was originally reof the world who is making use of this rectly or indirectly to one man in one particular invention is indebted diwider area until everyone in any part and became diffused over a wider and that it was made in one definite place the case of every modern invention, have any written record. We know in during the whole period of which we ing at the present time, and in fact the whole of its history in very much of anthropologists believes that civthe same way that we know it to be doilization has been developing during directly are excluded. The other group contact or prompting directly or in munities, when all considerations of

Take, for example, the history of the wooden match. For countless thousands of years men have been devising and using different means of producing fire. During the latter part of the eighteenth and early part of the nine-

give rise to fire. Now although at the under the influence of friction would strip of wood a chemical mixture that that he could put upon the end of a eventually one man made the discovery particular method developed, until fications and simplifications of one teenth centuries, a series of modimatch afforded certain evidence of conspread throughout the world from one one individual brought it to realizaarrive at the result, and that eventually simple and obvious procedure, we who had benefited by the English intraveler who was unaware of this fact particular spot. But if some European know that it took countless centuries to present day this seems to be a perfectly tact, direct or indirect, with someone where no white man had ever been be was roaming in a part of the world torical fact that this invention has he would inevitably conclude that the fore, and found there a wooden match We know, of course, as an his-

vention. If, however, he were not a mere man-in-the-street, but an ethnologist faithful to the orthodox theory of his creed, he would have to assume that so obvious a mechanism must have been invented independently by the uncultured people of the country where he had picked up the match.

conclusion be affected even if the finder indirectly from it. Nor would this the art of making matches directly or where it was found either directly from some community that had learned from the home of its invention, or doubt that it had reached the place that the match itself provided unture. equivocal evidence of diffusion of culdo, whether he was familiar with the man-in-the-street would unhesitatingly history of the wooden match or not) would assume (as every intelligent fusionist School" of anthropology, he to what our opponents call the "Dif-If, on the other hand, he belonged He would not entertain any

> of the various inventions and the sort of course we know to have hap from the original inventor who bematch-makers of these two countries made in Sweden or Japan, for the whether the particular match was of the match could tell at a glance achievements recognized and adopted struggles of the pioneers to get their are recorded in the written histories pened in the case of the match. These records were made, followed the same origin, development, and spread of any assume is that the processes of the What we of the Diffusionist School longed to neither of these countries had had the art handed down to them which he lives. present time in the community in processes happening round him at the invention in the time before written But anyone can see and study the same

It is utterly unjustifiable to assume, as modern ethnological theories implicitly do, that human behaviour was

totally different before writing was devised. There is not a scrap of evidence to suggest that our unliterary predecessors had a remarkable aptitude for invention far transcending that of modern man. Nor again is there anything to justify the even more reckless assumption that this imaginary aptitude found expression in a stereotyped form in every place where ancient civilization developed.

For example, there is no natural reason for attaching the tremendous economic and religious significance to gold, which is an arbitrary enhancement of its real qualities. The fact that almost every early civilization did assign to this soft and relatively useless metal a fantastic and irrelevant value is surely the strongest possible evidence of the influence of Egypt, in which a peculiar set of fortuitous circumstances was responsible for creating the fictitious attributes assigned to the metal.

[41]

DIFFUSION OF CULTURE

One might take up one after another of the thousands of ingredients that go to the making of civilization, ancient or modern, and show in each case the complexity of the set of circumstances, in which chance played an obstrusive part, involved in every invention. Each of them originated in one place and from there became diffused abroad, the complex tissue of civilization itself no less than the individual threads of which it is woven.

Turning to the consideration of the general question, no historian at the present day refuses to admit that Europe is indebted for the original inspiration of her civilization to Greece and to Rome, and that Rome in her turn derived much of her culture from Greece. Modern archaeological research has shown that Greece derived much of her own civilization from Crete and Asia Minor, and that both of these countries were in turn indebted to the older civilization of

CULTURE

Egypt for their cultural equipment. This much is admitted by the leading archaeologists who have been working in Crete. At the present time there is a difference of opinion as to whether Egypt or Mesopotamia was the pioneer in civilization; but among modern scholars the trend is strongly toward the view that whether Egypt was indebted to Mesopotamia, or Mesopotamia to Egypt, there was intimate contact between the two, and that one borrowed the essential elements of its civilization from the other.

This claim for diffusion is confidently made even by some of the most outspoken opponents of the theory of diffusion—a typical illustration of the inconsistency that runs through these discussions. The view is widely held amongst archaeologists that Babylonian civilization, or rather its predecessor, that of Sumer, is more ancient than that of Egypt. This is an amazing inference. For it is admitted, even

DIFFUSION OF CULTURE

by those now excavating in Mesopotamia, that the earliest Sumerian remains cannot be proved to be older than 3000 B.C. Yet, even if we accept the minimum dating of Egyptian history, the First Dynasty was flourishing on the banks of the Nile three centuries before then, and even so it followed a predynastic phase of development of several—perhaps as many as ten—centuries, which affords a full and adequate explanation of the form that Egyptian civilization had assumed in 3300 B.C.

I need not discuss this matter further here. Professor George A. Reisner of Harvard University has demonstrated in the most conclusive manner that Egyptian civilization was actually fashioned in the Nile Valley. As there can be no doubt of the genetic connection between the earliest civilizations of Egypt, Sumer, and Elam, one must assume that these Asiatic centres must have derived their cultural capital

from Egypt, where civilization had been developing for five, or more probably ten, centuries before culture appeared suddenly and fully developed in Elam and Sumer. The evidence in substantiation of these claims I have set forth in the article "Anthropology" in the supplementary volumes of the Encyclopaedia Britannica (1922).

vealed the influence of Sumer and pelly at Anau in Turkestan have re-Siberia and into the Shensi Province diffusion right up into the heart of pian, which represents a step in the Elam, in the country east of the Cascentres in western Asia. These people of the original culture of China to M. J. G. Andersson of early settlein China. in the Far East were making arrow that of Anau, Elam, Sumer, and other lished even more exactly the affinities inces of Honan and Fengtien) estab ments in northern China (the Prov-The excavations of Professor Pum-The recent discoveries by

DIFFUSION OF CULTURE

heads of chalcedony and other flintlike stones, also other stone implements, rings of stone and shell, beads, pottery (both monochrome and painted), and even small figurines, all revealing clear and unmistakable indications of diffusion of culture from Mesopotamia.

for navigation on the Nile in the Pyraeven earlier period western culture established this fact beyond any doubt equally definite. There was a spread forming in every respect to the pecuwas being brought into southern India At the same time or possibly at an nounced by Sir John Marshall have Indus. The recent discoveries antion of Elam into the valley of the from Persia, from the ancient civiliza-India in the third millennium is liar type of vessel invented originally by early mariners sailing in ships conby land from Turkestan as well as The influence of Mesopotamia upon

[61]

AND THE 11' HE STAND AND THE PARTY WAS AN USE OF THE PARTY WAS AND USE OF THE P

[81]

adequate proof that Egypt was the early agriculture, based upon the exwere determined by the methods of outlook of the world's civilization spread of civilization throughout the enormously rich mass of evidence the home of the earliest civilization. mummification would alone provide the essentially Egyptian practice of much of early belief was inspired by must clearly have been in the valley of Old World from one centre, which only from the southeastern corner of of the islands of the Malay Archiearliest civilization of Indo-China and river like the Nile. The fact that so perience of a gentle and beneficent the Nile. Hence one can demonstrate with an Africa to Egypt is beyond question. Asia and the West. The debt of pelago. islands of the Pacific could have come fluence of India in inspiring the No one questions the dominant in-The early culture of the The distinctive form and

rates this view. Throughout the world the earliest types of sea-going ship provide unmistakable demonstration of the inspiration of Egyptian methods of shipbuilding, which is itself both a corroboration of the general inference and also a demonstration of the means by which this wide diffusion was brought about.

A very curious argument has repeatedly been put to me verbally. But fortunately Mr. Enthoven has recently used it in print (in the issue of Folk-Lore for September, 1925, p. 224). If, he argues, it be admitted that the Egyptians without any outside help invented irrigation, why couldn't the peoples of India have done the same thing? This plausible line of argument is purely scholastic. What we have to do is to find an explanation of the established facts rather than speculate on what could or ought to happen. The very peculiar methods of agricul-

CULTURE

ture used in the earliest times were determined by conditions peculiar to the Nile Valley, as Professor Cherry has made abundantly clear, and these methods were not adapted to Indian conditions until many centuries later.

every respect to the distinctive type of explained: it conformed form. But there is another fact to be suddenly, and in a fully developed civilization made its appearance quite stimulus for the momentous events that and beliefs extend beyond the Old the arbitrary compound of customs quite independently of what had hap-Central America, Mexico, and Peru began to take place there at about the World to the New and provide the in the Old World, or did diffusion of pened during the preceding centuries Mexico, Central America, and Peru, Columbian civilization grow up in beginning of the Christian Era? American civilization. Did the Pre-There remains the problem of early in almost

DIFFUSION OF CULTURE

original source of the inspiration, but also of the road taken by the ancient ing corroboration, not only of the tity, these trivial additions afford strik tracting from the cogency of the identheir transit across the Pacific were that were made to these customs in origin. Moreover, the only additions unmistakable evidence of their Asiatic the New World, which seem to bear series of arts and crafts, customs and tures of its architecture, in fact a whole and customs, the same distinctive feacenturies. The same system of beliefs Polynesian practices. Instead of defeatures distinctive of Melanesian and beliefs, were suddenly introduced into inant feature of the architecture of found in America was also the domtral America. The type of pyramid southeastern corner of Asia at the time Cambodia and Java during the same when it made its appearance in Cenliar one) that was flourishing in the civilization (admittedly a very pecu

mariners who were responsible for the introduction into the New World of the germs of its distinctive civilization. It is an altogether incredible supposition that the Polynesian sailors who searched many thousands of miles in the Pacific with such thoroughness as not to miss even the minutest islets were not repeatedly landing on the shores of America for ten centuries and more. How could the people who found Hawaii, Easter Island, and New Zealand have failed to discover the vast continent stretching from pole to pole?

In his memoir on the Copper and Bronze Ages in South America Baron Nordenskiold has recently called attention to the similarities of metal-work in Peru and in the Old World. Copper axes similar to those found in Cambodia, Laos, Burma, the Malay Peninsula, the Malay Archipelago, Tonkin, Yunnan, and elsewhere in China have been found in Peru. The T-shaped

Egypt. significance of these similarities. of hoes, still further emphasize the and deciding whether or not it conexperiment can be established only by silvering. The truth of any scientific as also the technique of gilding and metal utensils that establish the culit is not merely the form, but also the needles, hoe-blades, and certain types such as tweezers, barbless fish-hooks, similar to those made in Ancient axes from Peru are said to be precisely forms to the principles laid down. examining newly discovered evidence theory that cannot be tested by direct both to the Old and the New Worlds ing known as cire perdue was common tural connection. The method of casttechnical procedures for making these Many other copper objects

[24]

THE NEW SCIENCE SERIES

Edited by C. K. OGDEN

Myth in Primitive Psychology ... Bronisław Malinowski, Ph.D., D.Sc.

Science and Poetry

I. A. Richards

Fatalism or Freedom C. Judson Herrick, M.S., Ph.D.

A Short Outline of Comparative Psychology
C. J. Warden, Ph.D.

Types of Mind and Body

E. Miller, M.A. (Captab.), M.B., M.R.C.S.

The Father in Primitive Psychology

Rronislaw Malinows

Bronislaw Malinowski, Ph.D., D.Sc.

The Standardization of Error

Vilhjalmur Stefansson, M.A., I.L.D.

Economics and Human Behavior

P. Sargant Florence, Ph.D.

G. Elliott Smith, D.Sc.; Bronislaw Malinowski, Ph.D.; D.Sc.; Herbert J. Spinden, Ph.D.; Alggander Goldenweiser, Ph.D.

Culture

Other Volumes in Preparation

Each Volume, \$1.00

W. W. NORTON & COMPANY, INC.
70 FIFTH AVENUE NEW YORK

CULTURE

The Diffusion Controversy

7

G. ELLIOTT SMITH, D.Sc. BRONISLAW MALINOWSKI, D.Sc. HERBERT J. SPINDEN, Ph.D. ALEX. GOLDENWEISER, Ph.D.



NEW YORK
W.W.NORTON & COMPANY, INC.
Publishers

CONTENTS

THE DIFFUSION CONTROVERSY Alexander Goldenweiser	THE PROSAIC VS. THE ROMANTIC SCHOOL IN ANTHROPOLOGY Herbert J. Spinden	THE LIFE OF CULTURE	THE DIFFUSION OF CULTURE . G. Elliot Smith
99	47	26	9

FRINTED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FOR THE PUBLISHERS BY THE VAN REES PRESS